

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,629	01/13/2006	Daisuke Ogata	050388-0043	2136	
,	7590 07/16/200 T WILL & EMERY LL	•	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			TRIEU, THERESA		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003-3090		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/564,629	OGATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Theresa Trieu	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ag</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National	Stage		
			•		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the applicants' amendment filed on April 30, 2007.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 30, 2007 has been entered.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7 and 8 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

# Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 3, line 4 is an incomplete sentence that should be deleted because it refers to claim numbers which can change in numbering and content.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "a tooth top defined by a predetermined curve" renders the claims indefinite, because it is unclear what kind of curve is a predetermine curve, as applicants have claimed. Applicants should clarify/define the value is predetermined.

Art Unit: 3748

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards (Patent Number 1,516,591) in view of Bachmann et al. (Bachmann) (Patent Application Publication 2003-0072665).

Regarding claims 1-3 and 5, Edwards discloses an inner rotor of an internal gear pump comprising said inner rotor 5 and an outer rotor 7, said inner rotor 5 having a plurality of first teeth 6 and said outer rotor 7 having a plurality of second teeth 8, said plurality of second teeth being greater in number by one than said plurality of first teeth, said first teeth 6 having one more tooth than said inner rotor, said inner rotor 5 including a plurality of teeth each comprising a tooth bottom defined by two hypocycloidal curves connected to the tooth bottoms of the two first teeth adjacent said each first tooth (see col. 2, line 5-10), respectively, an engaging portion

configured to engage said an outer rotor, and a tooth top defined by a predetermined curve (see col. 1, line 97-100) being an epicycloidal curve. However, Edwards fails to disclose the engaging portion having an involute curve.

Bachmann teaches that it is conventional in the gear pump art to utilize the engaging portion (24 - see Fig. 5) configured to engage an outer rotor and defined by involute curve (see page 4, [0057] paragraph, lines 1-4); a base circle of the hypocycloidal curves has a diameter greater than a base circle of the involute curves, each of the hypocycloidal curves of the tooth bottom connecting with one of the involute curves of the engaging portion at a point inside of the base circle of the hypocycloidal curves, wherein an inclination angle of the internal rotor smaller than 85 degrees (see Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the engaging portion having an involute curve, as taught by Bachmann in the Edwards apparatus, since the use thereof would have improved the performance and efficiency of the gear pump.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,629

Art Unit: 3748

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT July 3, 2007 Theresa Trieu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3748